



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,687	03/23/2007	Mamoru Yasui	TKMTP135	4706
22434	7590	11/17/2010	EXAMINER	
			MESH, GENNADIIY	
Weaver Austin Villeneuve & Sampson LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 70250				
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			1763	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/17/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@wavsip.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/585,687	YASUI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	GENNADIY MESH	1763

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 08 November 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) The period for reply expires _____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

- (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
- (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: none.

Claim(s) objected to: none.

Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-11.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 12-22.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: _____.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____

13. Other: _____.

/Milton I. Cano/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1763

/Gennadiy Mesh/
Examiner, Art Unit 1763

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

1. No amendments to claims have been presented in this Amendment.

2. Applicant's arguments filed on November 8, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argument related to Claims 1 and 3-11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hayes (US 2005/0027098) based on following:

a) "Firstly, Hayes, by contrast, describes sulfonated aliphatic-aromatic copolymers (say, from [0022] to [0026]), but Hayes' aromatic sulfonates (such as a metal salt of lower alkyl ester of 5-sulfoisophthalic acid [0026]) are components forming sulfonated aliphatic-aromatic copolymers themselves. In other words, Hayes' aromatic sulfonates are components to be incorporated into the molecules of such copolymers as constituent units of sulfonated aliphatic-aromatic copolymers." However, as it was shown in Office action mailed on September 16, 2010, composition disclosed by Hayes meet all compositional limitations of Applicant's claimed subject matter. Therefore, this Applicant's argument was found unpersuasive.

b) Regarding second statement related to metals which form the aromatic salts, Applicant's agreed that Hayes disclosed that composition may comprise potassium, which is the first choice of metal as claimed by Applicant.

c) Regarding last argument and specifically statement , that "... it is not possible from Hayes' sulfonated aliphatic-aromatic copolymers to obtain molded products with superior physical characteristics under the practical molding conditions of ordinary all-purpose resins, say, without causing deformations at the mold releasing time" note, that no factual data has been presented to support this statement. and that that features as molding conditions has not been part of Applicant's claimed subject matter.

/GM/